

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

STEVEN R. HALVERSON,

Petitioner,

vs.

BRIAN E. WILLIAMS, *et al.*

Respondents.

2:10-cv-02083-PMP-LRL

ORDER

This habeas matter under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 comes before the Court for initial review pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. The filing fee has been paid.

Local Rule LSR 3-1 requires that a *pro se* petitioner must use the Court's petition form for a Section 2254 petition. The petition form requires that the petitioner attach a copy of all state court written decisions regarding the conviction. Petitioner did not attach a copy of any of the state court decisions regarding his conviction. Petitioner must file copies of these decisions as well as of the remaining items listed at the conclusion of this order, which are necessary for the Court to complete initial review in this particular case.

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall file the petition.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that, within thirty (30) days of entry of this order, Petitioner shall file a supplemental exhibit with copies of the following: (a) the briefing and/or fast track statements on his direct appeal; (b) the state supreme court's order of affirmance on direct appeal; (c) all papers filed by or on behalf of Petitioner presenting state post-conviction claims in the state district court on his first state post-conviction petition; (d) the written decisions of

1 the state district court and the state supreme court on the first petition; (e) all papers filed on
2 his behalf presenting his claims on his second state petition; (f) the written decisions of the
3 state district court and the state supreme court on the second petition; and (e) all remittiturs
4 issued by the state supreme court in connection with the foregoing proceedings.

5 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that this action may be dismissed without further advance
6 notice if Petitioner fails to both fully and timely comply with this order.

7 The Clerk shall send petitioner a copy of the petition that he submitted.¹

8 DATED: January 5, 2011.
9
10

11 
12

13 PHILIP M. PRO
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ¹The Court has not completed initial review herein, and this order does not explicitly or implicitly hold
28 that the petition otherwise is free of deficiencies.